Atrial Fibrillation Catheter Ablation versus Surgical Ablation Treatment: FAST A Two-Center Randomized Clinical Trial

Lucas Boersma, WimJan van Boven, Alaaddin Yilmaz, Johannes Kelder, Maurits Wijffels Cardiology&Cardiac Surgery Dept, St.Antonius Hospital, Nieuwegein, Netherlands

Manuel Castella, Antonio Berruezo, Mercedes Nadal, Naiara Calvo, Elena Sandoval Josep Brugada, Lluis Mont Thorax Institute Hospital Clinic, University of Barcelona, Spain





0.2000

Background

- Cox-MAZE open chest, cardiac surgery was a very successful invasive procedure for treatment of AF¹, but highly invasive
- Since the landmark trial by Haisaguerre et al.², PV isolation by catheter ablation (CA) has become accepted therapy for paroxysmal and persistent AF refractory to AAD (Class IIA/B, LOE-B/C³)
- AF recurrence after ablation often relates to restoration of conduction between the LA and the PV found at redo procedure
- Wolf et al.⁴ described a successful minimally invasive surgical approach including PVI, ganglionic plexi ablation, and LAA excision (SA), nowadays indicated only if ablation fails (Class IIB, LOE-B)
- FAST is the first randomized clinical trial, directly comparing the efficacy and safety of CA to SA

1. Prasad et al. J Thoracic Cardiovasc Surgery 2003, 2. Haissaguerre et al. NEJM 1998, 3. ESC Guidelines AF therapy 2010, 4. Wolf et al. J Thoracic Cardiovasc Surgery 2005



Trial design and selection criteria

- Two-Center randomized clinical trial with a 12 mo follow-up
- CA vs. SA, 1:1 randomization, July 2007-July 2011
- Inclusion:

Drug-refractory AF, documented in the last 12 mo, symptom duration>1 year, high chance of CA failure¹ due to:

- 1. LA diameter >40-44 mm with hypertension, or
- 2. LA diameter≥45 mm, or
- 3. Failed prior catheter ablation
- Exclusion:

longstanding persistent>1 yr, permanent AF, prior stroke/embolism, significant valvular disease, LVEF<45%, LAD>65 mm

• Pre-procedure 7-day Holter, TTE&TEE, and CT/MRI



1. Berruezo et al. Eur Heart J 2007

PV isolation in CA and SA



Linear antral PV isolation with single tip RF catheter, guided by 3-D mapping & navigation² under local anesthesia

Anatomical bipolar RF ablation, by VATS under general anesthesia¹





1. Wolf et al. J Thor Cardiovas Surgery, 2. Courtesy of St.Jude medical

Treatment protocol for CA and SA

CA group :

- Wide encircling linear antral Pulmonary Vein isolation
- RF catheter ablation with single 3.5-4 mm (irrigated) tip ablation catheter guided by 3-D mapping (NavX[™]/CARTO[™])
- Additional LA lines at the discretion of the operator

SA group:

- Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery
- Bipolar RF clamp, coolrail, and RF pen (AtriCure)
- PV isolation, LA ganglionic plexi ablation, LAA excision
- Additional lines at the discretion of the operator

Follow-up and Endpoints

Arrhythmia follow-up:

- ECG at outpatient clinic 1, 3, 6, 12 mo, or anytime during complaints
- 7-day Holter performed at 6, 12 mo

Primary Efficacy Endpoint after 12-mo:

• Freedom of LA arrhythmia lasting >30 sec, in the absence of AAD

Primary Safety Endpoint after 12-mo:

• Significant Adverse Events both acute and chronic

Statistics:

- 124 pts were randomized assuming an efficacy of CA 60% and SA 85%, power of 80% (1-sided Fishers Exact test), significance level 0.025
- Outcome measures: 2-sided Pearsons' Chi-square test/Yates continuity correction, Odds ratios with 95% CI, and Fishers Exact test



Screening, inclusion, and randomization





Baseline characteristics CA and SA - 1

55(87.3%) 56.0±7.2 28.6±3.5 2(3.2%) 55.5±8.2% 43.2±4.8	45(73.8%) 56.1±8.0 27.8±4.6 - 57.7±6.8% 42.5±6.5%
56.0±7.2 28.6±3.5 2(3.2%) 55.5±8.2% 43.2±4.8	56.1±8.0 27.8±4.6 - 57.7±6.8% 42.5±6.5%
28.6±3.5 2(3.2%) 55.5±8.2% 43.2±4.8	27.8±4.6 - 57.7±6.8% 42.5±6.5%
2(3.2%) 55.5±8.2% 43.2±4.8	- 57.7±6.8% 42.5±6.5%
55.5±8.2% 43.2±4.8	57.7±6.8% 42.5±6.5%
43.2±4.8	42.5±6.5%
38(60.3%)	45(73.8%)
15(23.8%)	8(13.1%)
10(15.9%)	8(13.1%)
37(58.8%)	45(73.8%)
26(41.2%)	16(26.2%)
	7.4±6.3
	26(41.2%) 6.8±5.3

Baseline characteristics CA and SA - 2

		CA N=63	SA N=61
Prior AAD use:	1	28.3%	16.3%
	2	41.5%	35.7%
	3	15.1%	32.7%
	≥4	15.1%	16.3%
	Amiodarone	26(41.3%)	30(49.2%)
CHADS ₂₋ score: 0		35(58.3%)	38(63.3%)
	1	17(28.3%)	17(28.3%)
	≥2	8(13.4%)	4(6.7%)
Pre-procedure Holter:	No AF	23(40.4%)	29(55.8%)
	PAF	10(17.5%)	12(23.1%)
	Continuous AF	24(42.1%)	11(21.2%)
			CLÍNIC BARCELIONA Hospital Universitari

Hospital Universitari

Procedural data CA and SA

		CA N=63	SA N=61
Total procedure time, min		163±55	188±59 (p=0.0177)
Flurorscopy time, min		27±11	-
PVI		62(98.2%)	60(98.3%)
PV reablated redo: 1		1 (2.6%)	-
	2	9 (23.7%)	-
	3	3 (7.9%)	-
	4	25 (65.8%	45 (100%)
LAA excision		-	60 (98.3%)
Additional LA lines:	1	17(27.4%)	9(14.8%)
	2	14(22.6%)	2(3.3%)
	3	-	8(13.1%)
RF energy PVI		33±20 min	8.9±2.8 applications
			CLÍNIC BARCELONA Hospital Universitari

Hospital Universitari

Primary Efficacy Endpoint at 12 mo



Efficacy CA versus SA during FU

Freedom LA arrhythmia	CA N=63	SA N=61	P-value
Overall, 12 mo	23(36.5%)	40(65.6%)	p=0.0022*
Overall, 12 mo allowing AAD	27(42.9%)	48(78.7%)	p<0.0001*
Overall, 6 mo	28(44.4%)	41(67.2%)	p=0.0178*
PAF group	13/37(35.1%)	31/45(68.9%)	p=0.0047
PersAF group	9/25 (36%)	9/16(56%)	p=0.3411
Prior failed CA	14/38(36.8%)	30/44(68.2%)	p=0.0089
LA dilation/hypertension	9/25(36.0%)	10/17(58.8%)	p=0.3411
Nieuwegein	10/30(33.3%)	18/29(62.1%)	p=0.0513
Barcelona	13/33(39.4%)	22/31(70.9%)	p=0.0336

Heterogeneity analysis non-significant, p-value>0.2



Subgroup analysis for CA and SA





Procedural Safety CA and SA

Adverse events	CA N=63	SA N=61	P-value
Pericardial effusion/tamponade	1	1	
TIA/Stroke	1	1	
Pneumothorax	-	6	
Hematothorax	-	1	
Rib fracture	-	1	
Sternotomy for bleeding	-	1	
Pneumonia	-	1	
PM implant	-	2	
Death	-	-	
Total	2 (3.2%)	14 (23.0%)	p=0.001
Minor			
Groin hematoma/bleed	4 (6.3%)	-	



Safety CA and SA after 12 mo FU

Adverse events		CA N=63	SA N=61	P-value
Stroke		1	-	
TIA		1	-	
Pneumonia		2	2	
Hydrothorax		-	2	
Heart failure by AF		2	-	
SAB causing death		1	-	
Pericarditis		-	1	
Fever unknown origin		-	1	
lleus		1	1	
PV stenosis>70%/symptoma	itic	-	-	
	Total	8 (12.7%)	7 (11.5%)	p=1.0
Minor				
Groin hematoma/bleeding		2 (3.2%)	-	
			c. í	



Conclusions

- In a population of patients with AF, with a dilated LA and hypertension, or a failed prior AF catheter ablation, minimally invasive Surgical Ablation is superior to Catheter Ablation to achieve freedom of LA arrhythmia without anti-arrhythmic drugs during a follow-up of 12 months
- Surgical ablation is accompanied by a higher adverse event rate than catheter ablation
- These findings may be used by physicians and patients to guide optimal invasive therapy



Atrial Fibrillation Catheter Ablation Versus Surgical Ablation Treatment (FAST) A 2-Center Randomized Clinical Trial

Lucas V.A. Boersma, MD, PhD, FESC; Manuel Castella, MD, PhD; WimJan van Boven, MD; Antonio Berruezo, MD; Alaaddin Yilmaz, MD; Mercedes Nadal, MD; Elena Sandoval, MD; Naiara Calvo, MD; Josep Brugada, MD, PhD, FESC; Johannes Kelder, MD; Maurits Wijffels, MD, PhD; Lluís Mont, MD, PhD, FESC

- Background—Catheter ablation (CA) and minimally invasive surgical ablation (SA) have become accepted therapy for antiarrhythmic drug–refractory atrial fibrillation. This study describes the first randomized clinical trial comparing their efficacy and safety during a 12-month follow-up.
- *Methods and Results*—One hundred twenty-four patients with antiarrhythmic drug–refractory atrial fibrillation with left atrial dilatation and hypertension (42 patients, 33%) or failed prior CA (82 patients, 67%) were randomized to CA (63 patients) or SA (61 patients). CA consisted of linear antral pulmonary vein isolation and optional additional lines. SA consisted of bipolar radiofrequency isolation of the bilateral pulmonary vein, ganglionated plexi ablation, and left atrial appendage excision with optional additional lines. Follow-up at 6 and 12 months was performed by ECG and 7-day Holter recording. The primary end point, freedom from left atrial arrhythmia >30 seconds without antiarrhythmic drugs after 12 months, was 36.5% for CA and 65.6% for SA (P=0.0022). There was no difference in effect for subgroups, which was consistent at both sites. The primary safety end point of significant adverse events during the 12-month follow-up was significantly higher for SA than for CA (n=21 [34.4%] versus n=10 [15.9%]; P=0.027), driven mainly by procedural complications such as pneumothorax and major bleeding. In the CA group, 1 patient died at 1 month of subarachnoid hemorrhage.
- **Conclusion**—In atrial fibrillation patients with dilated left atrial and hypertension or failed prior atrial fibrillation CA, SA is superior to CA in achieving freedom from left atrial arrhythmias after 12 months of follow-up, although the procedural significant adverse event rate is significantly higher for SA than for CA.

ZIEKENHUIS

5T ONTONIUS

Clinical Trial Registration—URL: http://clinicaltrials.gov. Unique identifier: NCT00662701. (Circulation. 2012;125:00-00.)



Circulation, online